UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,138	07/10/2006	Nicolas Prigent	PF030060	7177
	7590 09/29/201 d, Patent Operations	EXAMINER		
THOMSON Lic P.O. Box 5312		RUBIN, BLAKE J		
Princeton, NJ 0	8543-5312		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2457	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/29/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@technicolor.com pat.verlangieri@technicolor.com russell.smith@technicolor.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/552,138	PRIGENT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BLAKE RUBIN	2457				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Au	ıaust 2011					
	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
· <u> </u>	An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on					
; the restriction requirement and election	·	_				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·					
·						
Disposition of Claims						
5) Claim(s) 1 and 3-9 is/are pending in the application	ation.					
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b)□ objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	•					

Application/Control Number: 10/552,138 Page 2

Art Unit: 2457

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to a communications filed August 19, 2011.

2. Claims 1 and 3-9 are currently pending. Claims 1 and 5 are currently amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanson et al (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0098840, hereinafter Hanson) in view of Pabla et al (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0064693, hereinafter Pabla).
- 5. With respect to claim 1, Hanson discloses a device adapted to belong to a community of networked devices (paragraph [0089], lines 3-14), said device comprising: a provable identity and/or means for generating and/or obtaining a provable identity (paragraph [0145]);

means adapted to store information about devices of the community having trust relationships with said device (paragraph [0096], lines 1-6); means adapted to store information about devices not trusted by said device (paragraph [0096], lines 1-06);

Application/Control Number: 10/552,138 Page 3

Art Unit: 2457

means adapted to store information about devices of the community having had trust relationships with said device in the past but now not trusted by said device (paragraph [0218], lines 10-18);

means for synchronizing trust relationships (paragraph [0099], 12-20) with any other device belonging to said community of network devices based on the stored information (paragraph [0099], lines 1-12).

But does not disclose a distributed authentication system

However, Pabla discloses means for synchronizing trust relationships (paragraph [0727], lines 16-21) in a distributed manner with said any other device belonging to said community (paragraph [0084], lines 1-6).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the intermittent connectivity in a mobile computing environment of Hanson with the distributed indexing of identity information in a peer-to-peer network of Pabla. The motivation to combine being to provide a security mechanism for all peers within peer groups to discover, communicate and cooperate with each other while distributing the security load across the network by enabling each peer to authenticate other peers (Pabla: Abstract).

6. With respect to claim 3, the combination of Hanson and Pabla discloses the device according to claim 1, Hanson further discloses the information about devices comprises the provable identity of said devices (paragraph [0096], lines 1-6).

Application/Control Number: 10/552,138

Art Unit: 2457

7. With respect to claim 4, the combination of Hanson and Pabla discloses the device according to claim 1, Hanson further discloses said device is furthermore designed to store information comprising proofs received from other devices of the community that said device is trusted by other devices (paragraph [0104]).

Page 4

- 8. With respect to claim 5, the combination of Hanson and Pabla discloses the device according to claim 1, Hanson further discloses said synchronizing means comprise means to exchange information with other devices of the community about devices trusted and/or not trusted by other devices in the community (paragraph [0099], 12-20).
- 9. With respect to claim 6, the combination of Hanson and Pabla discloses the device according to claim 1, Hanson further discloses said devices comprises:

a first object capable of containing identities of devices trusted (paragraph [0096], lines 1-6)

a second object capable of containing identities of devices trusted by said device (paragraph [0096], lines 1-6); and

a third object capable of containing identities of devices distrusted by said device (paragraph [0218], lines 10-18).

10. With respect to claim 7, the combination of Hanson and Pabla discloses the device according to claim 6, Hanson further discloses said device is able to modify the

content of said first object and/or said second object and/or said third object as a function of information exchanged with other devices of the community (paragraph [0103], lines 7-17).

- 11. With respect to claim 8, the combination of Hanson and Pabla discloses the device according to claim 6, Hanson further discloses said first object and/or said second object and/or said third object are furthermore able to contain cryptographic material (paragraph [0123], lines 8-10).
- 12. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Hanson and Pabla as applied to claim 6, in view of Fraser at al. (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2003/0131232).
- 13. With respect to claim 9, the combination of Hanson and Pabla discloses the device according to claim 6, but does not disclose that the first device is able to banish another device of said community if the identity of said device to be banished is contained in said first or second object of said first device.

However, Fraser, in an analogous art, discloses that a registration agent of a community may remove a member from the community (Fraser: paragraph 0066).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Hanson and Pabla with the teachings of Fraser. The motivation to combine being to provide a security mechanism for the community by having the capability to remove a member of the community (Fraser: paragraph 0067).

Application/Control Number: 10/552,138 Page 6

Art Unit: 2457

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

a.	Koliczew	Patent No.	6,298,072
b.	Pouyoul et al	Pat. Pub.	2002/0156893
c.	Bartram et al	Pat. Pub.	2004/0054885
d.	Hondo et al	Pat. Pub.	2004/0128544
e.	Ellis	Patent No.	6,484,257

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BLAKE RUBIN whose telephone number is (571) 270-3802. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R: 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,138

Art Unit: 2457

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Page 7

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

9/15/11

/Rubin Blake/ Examiner, Art Unit 2457

/ARIO ETIENNE/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2457